

**REMARKS**

Responsive to the Restriction Requirement mailed June 13, 2005, Applicant elects the claims of Group I, claims 14-16, 19-22, and 26-27, with traverse, for prosecution in this application.

Insofar as claims 17 and 18 is concerned, due to a typographical error, the claims as they appeared in the previous amendment were incorrect and non-statutory. These claims have now been amended to depend from claim 14, and Applicant submits that claims 17 and 18 as amended are properly part of Group I.

The claims of all groups fall into the same subclass, and have been examined together in five previous office actions. The claims of Groups III-V represent relatively straightforward variations of the invention of Group I, and any additional searching required by examination of these claims appears to be minimal.

Withdrawal of the restriction requirement is therefore requested.

Respectfully submitted,

  
Tara J. Schultz  
Registration No. 28666